

**Left-right consistency in rings III**  
**Dragan Djordjevic, Robin Harte and Cora Stack**

Faculty of Science and Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia; [dragan@pmf.ni.ac.rs](mailto:dragan@pmf.ni.ac.rs)

School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin; [rharte@maths.tcd.ie](mailto:rharte@maths.tcd.ie)

Department of Mathematics, Institute of Technology, Tallaght; [cora.stack@ittdublin.ie](mailto:cora.stack@ittdublin.ie)

**Abstract** *A bounded linear operator  $T$  is said to be “left-right consistent” if the spectra of all the products  $ST$  and  $TS$  coincide. In this note we relate the associated “consistency spectrum” to Fredholm theory, and to the “fat boundary”.*

Suppose  $A$  is a semigroup, with identity 1 and invertible group  $A^{-1} = A_{left}^{-1} \cap A_{right}^{-1}$ , or more generally an abstract category. Elements  $a \in A$  induce left and right multiplications on  $A$ ,

$$L_a : x \mapsto ax ; R_a : x \mapsto xa .$$

It is the relationship between these operators which gives rise to “left-right consistency”. We recall [5],[3],[4] that an element  $a \in A$  is said to be “left-right consistent” if for arbitrary  $b \in A$  the two products  $ba$  and  $ab$  are invertible or not together:

**1. Definition** *If  $K \subseteq A$  is arbitrary write*

$$1.1 \quad \varpi(K) = \{a \in A : L_a^{-1}(K) = R_a^{-1}(K)\}$$

*for the set of “left-right  $K$  consistent”  $a \in A$ . When  $K = A^{-1}$  we get the “consistently invertible elements” of  $A$ .*

$\varpi(K)$  is always [3],[4] a sub-semigroup of  $A$ :

$$1.2 \quad \varpi(K)\varpi(K) \subseteq \varpi(K) .$$

We recall specifically [4]

$$1.3 \quad \varpi(A^{-1}) = A^{-1} \cup (A \setminus (A_{left}^{-1} \cup A_{right}^{-1})) .$$

When the semigroup  $A$  is a complex linear algebra then associated with the “consistency” or otherwise of  $a \in A$  and its scalar perturbations  $a - \lambda$  are ([8] Definition 2) related modifications of the spectrum:

**2. Definition** *If  $\omega$  is a spectral mapping on an algebra  $A$  then the associated consistent spectral mapping is given, for  $a \in A$ , by*

$$2.1 \quad \omega_{CI}(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : R_{a-\lambda}^{-1}H_\omega \neq L_{a-\lambda}^{-1}H_\omega\} ,$$

where

$$2.2 \quad H_\omega = \{a \in A : 0 \notin \omega(a)\} .$$

Thus if  $\omega$  is the usual spectrum  $\sigma$  then  $H_\omega$  is the group  $A^{-1}$  of invertibles. We remark that, in a change from [8], we are following the notation of Cao/Zhang/Zhang [1]. The characterization [4] of left-right consistent elements can ([7] Theorem 4) be expressed spectrally:

$$2.3 \quad \sigma_{CI}(a) = \sigma(a) \setminus (\sigma^{left}(a) \cap \sigma^{right}(a)) .$$

For the bounded operators on a Banach space Cao/Zhang/Zhang ([1] Theorem 1) have looked at the spectral mapping theorem for this spectrum:

**3. Theorem** If  $a \in A$  is a Banach algebra element then there is inclusion

$$3.1 \quad \sigma_{CI}(a) \subseteq \text{int } \sigma(a) ,$$

and if  $p \in \text{Poly}$  is a polynomial, inclusion

$$3.2 \quad \sigma_{CI}p(a) \subseteq p\sigma_{CI}(a) .$$

Necessary and sufficient for equality in (3.2) for all polynomials is

$$3.3 \quad \sigma_{CI}(a) = \emptyset .$$

If also  $b \in B$  then there is inclusion

$$3.4 \quad \sigma_{CI}(a \oplus b) \subseteq \sigma_{CI}(a) \cup \sigma_{CI}(b) ;$$

the condition (3.3) is necessary for equality in (3.4).

*Proof.* Inclusion (3.1) follows [8] from the familiar inclusion

$$3.5 \quad \partial\sigma(a) \subseteq \sigma^{\text{left}}(a) \cap \sigma^{\text{right}}(a) .$$

Inclusion (3.2) is a consequence of the semigroup properties (1.4), and then the reverse inclusion is clear if (3.3) holds. Conversely if (3.3) fails look [1] at the polynomial

$$p = (z - \lambda)(z - \mu) \text{ with } \lambda \in \sigma_{CI}(a), \mu \in \sigma^{\text{left}}(a) \cap \sigma^{\text{right}}(a) .$$

The inclusion (3.4) is clear; conversely if equality fails in (3.3) for  $a \in A$  then simply choose  $B$  and  $b \in B$  in such a way that

$$\sigma_B^{\text{left}}(b) = \sigma_A^{\text{right}}(a) \text{ and } \sigma_B^{\text{right}}(b) = \sigma_A^{\text{left}}(a) .$$

Specifically take either  $b = R_a \in B = \{R_c : c \in A\}$ , or  $b = a \in B = A^{op}$  •

We might remark that an equivalent form for the condition (3.3) is the equality

$$3.6 \quad \sigma^{\text{left}}(a) = \sigma^{\text{right}}(a) .$$

Theorem 3, and its resemblance to Theorem 5 of [7], points up a certain analogy between the consistent spectrum  $\sigma_{CI}$  and the Weyl spectrum  $\omega_{ess}$ : for example there is inclusion, for  $a = T \in B(X)$ ,

$$3.7 \quad \sigma_{CI}(T) \subseteq \omega_{ess}(T) .$$

This of course follows from Theorem 4 of [4], since Weyl operators have invertible generalized inverses. It is also clear, since  $A_{\text{left}}^{-1}$  and  $A_{\text{right}}^{-1}$  both lie among what Müller ([11] Definition 13.11) calls the ‘‘Saphar’’ elements of  $A$ ,

$$3.8 \quad \{a \in aAa : L_a^{-1}(0) \subseteq L_a^\infty(A) \equiv \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_a^n(A)\} ,$$

that  $\sigma_{CI}(a)$  is always disjoint from a significant portion of what might be called the ‘‘Saphar spectrum’’ of  $a \in A$ . It is useful to determine the consistent spectrum for the forward and backward shifts  $u, v$ , and the standard weight  $w$ :

**4. Theorem** If  $A = B(X)$  with  $X = \ell_2$  and we set, for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and each  $x \in X$ ,

$$4.1 \quad (ux)_1 = 0 , (ux)_{n+1} = x_n ; (vx)_n = x_{n+1} ; (wx)_n = (1/n)x_n$$

then  $vu = 1 \neq uv$  and

$$4.2 \quad \sigma_{CI}(u) = \text{int } \mathbf{D} = \sigma_{CI}(v) .$$

Also

$$4.3 \quad \sigma_{CI}(u \oplus v) = \emptyset = \sigma_{CI}(w) = \sigma_{CI}(wu) = \sigma_{CI}(vw) .$$

*Proof.* We need only recall

$$4.4 \quad \sigma^{left}(u) = \mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{D} = \sigma^{right}(u) = \sigma(u)$$

and

$$4.5 \quad \sigma^{right}(v) = \mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{D} = \sigma^{left}(v) = \sigma(v) .$$

The spectrum of  $w$  has no interior, while both products  $wu$  and  $vw$  are quasinilpotent •

We also recall the disc algebra  $A \subseteq C(\mathbf{D})$ , and its isometric embedding  $T : A \rightarrow B = C(\mathbf{S})$ :

$$4.6 \quad a = z \in A \implies \sigma_{CI}(a) = \sigma_{CI}(a \oplus u) = \emptyset \neq \sigma_{CI}(u) .$$

This example shows that the condition (3.3) is not sufficient for equality in (3.4).

**Theorem 5** *If  $a \in A$  there is inclusion*

$$5.1 \quad \sigma_{CI}(a) \subseteq \sigma_{CI}(L_a) \cap \sigma_{CI}(R_a) .$$

*Proof.* Generally, recalling left and right approximate point spectrum, there is inclusion

$$5.2 \quad \tau^{left}(a) = \tau^{left}(L_a) \subseteq \sigma^{left}(L_a) \subseteq \sigma^{left}(a) = \tau^{right}(R_a) = \sigma^{right}(R_a) = \sigma^{left}(a)$$

and

$$5.3 \quad \tau^{right}(a) = \tau^{left}(R_a) \subseteq \sigma^{left}(R_a) \subseteq \sigma^{right}(a) = \tau^{right}(L_a) = \sigma^{right}(L_a) = \sigma^{right}(a) ;$$

we notice also

$$5.4 \quad \partial\sigma(a) \subseteq \partial(a) \subseteq \tau^{left}(a) \cap \tau^{right}(a) ,$$

where

$$5.5 \quad \partial(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : a - \lambda \in \partial A^{-1}\}$$

is the “fat boundary” of Sonja Mouton [9],[10] •

Equality may fail in (5.1): as an example if  $a = z \in A$  for the disc algebra then ([10] Example 2.3), with  $T : A \rightarrow B = C(\mathbf{S})$ ,

$$5.6 \quad \partial(a) = \partial(Ta) = \mathbf{S} ,$$

and hence

$$5.7 \quad \sigma^{left}(L_a) = \mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{D} = \sigma^{right}(L_a) = \sigma^{left}(a) = \sigma^{right}(a) .$$

It follows, since  $A$  is commutative, that for  $a = z$

$$5.8 \quad \sigma_{CI}(a) = \emptyset \neq \text{int } \mathbf{D} = \sigma_{CI}(L_a) \cap \sigma_{CI}(R_a) .$$

We remark finally that the consistent sdpectrum and the fat boundary are disjoint:

$$5.9 \quad \sigma_{CI}(a) \cap \partial(a) = \emptyset .$$

This is the analogue of (3.1) obtained by beefing up (3.5) to (5.4); nalternatively it is a simple observation [4] that everything in the closure of the invertibles is left-right consistent. Here the closure is of course the norm closure, but the proof is routed through what we call [2] the *spectral* closure.

## References

1. X. Cao, H. Zhang and Y. Zhang, *Consistent invertibility and Weyl's theorem*, Jour. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear).
2. D. Cvetković-Ilić and R.E. Harte, *The spectral topology in rings*, Studia Math. **200** (2010) 267-278.
3. D.S. Djordjevic, *Operators consistent in regularity*, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen **60** (2002) 1-15.
4. D.S. Djordjevic, R.E. Harte and C. Stack, *On left-right consistency in rings*, Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **106A** (2006) 11-17.
5. W. Gong and D. Han, *Spectrum of the products of operators and compact perturbations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994) 755-760.
6. R.E. Harte, *Invertibility and singularity*, Dekker New York 1988.
7. R.E. Harte and W.Y. Lee, *Another note on Weyl's theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997) 2115-2124.
8. R.E. Harte and C. Stack, *On left-right consistency in rings II*, Math. Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **106A** (2006) 219-223.
9. S. Mouton, *On the boundary spectrum in Banach algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **74** (1996) 239-246.
10. S. Mouton, *Mapping and continuity properties of the boundary spectrum in Banach algebras*, Illinois Jour. Math. **53** (2009) 757-767..
11. V. Müller, *Spectral theory of linear operators and spectral systems in Banach algebras*, Birkhauser 2007.