Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.yu/faac

Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation 3:1 (2011), 19–22

Outer generalized inverses of centralizers over semiprime rings

Dragan S. Djordjević

Abstract

Let T be a centralizer over a semiprime ring. We find the necessary and sufficient conditions for T to have an outer generalized inverse with prescribed range and kernel (in the ring of all centralizers). As a corollary we obtain some results from (M. A. Chaudhry and M. S. Samman, *Generalized inverses of centralizers of semiprime rings*, Aequationes Math. 71 (2006), 246–252).

1 Introduction

Recently (see [3]), new results appeared concerning generalized inverses of centralizers on semiprime rings. Precisely, if T is a centralizer, then there exists the commutative generalized inverse of T if and only if T is orthogonal. The commutativity condition is a general property of centralizers, and we shall recall the definition of orthogonal centralizers later. Our aim is to consider outer generalized inverses of centralizers on semiprime rings, and then to obtain some results from [3] as a corollary. We recall the interest for outer generalized inverses and related topics in [1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11]. Generalized inverses are useful in solving overdetermined linear systems and singular operator equations (see [1, 2, 4, 11]).

Recall that the ring \mathcal{R} is called semiprime, if $a\mathcal{R}a = \{0\}$ implies a = 0. Let $T : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}$ be an additive mapping. Then T is called a centralizer on \mathcal{R} , if and only if T(xy) = xT(y) = T(x)y hold for every $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$. Equivalently, T is a centralizer on \mathcal{R} , if and only if T is additive and T(x)y = xT(y) holds for every $x, y \in \mathcal{R}$. The set $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ of all centralizers on \mathcal{R} is a commutative ring. For further properties of centralizers on semiprime rings see [3, 9, 10].

If $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$, then $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is the range, and $\mathcal{N}(T)$ is the kernel of T. Notice that both $\mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\mathcal{N}(T)$ are two-sided ideals of \mathcal{R} .

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classifications. 16N10, 15A09.

Key words and Phrases. Generalized inverses; centralizers; semiprime rings.

Received: September 4, 2010

Communicated by Dragana Cvetković Ilić

Supported by the grant no. 174007 of the Ministry of Science, Serbia.

Let $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ be given. If there exists some $S \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ such that STS = Sholds, then S is an outer generalized inverse of T in the ring $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$. In this case we denote $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R}(S)$ and $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}(S)$. Hence, $S = T_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}}^{(2)}$, i.e. S is an outer generalized inverse of T with the fixed (prescribed) range and kernel.

$\mathbf{2}$ Results

We are interested in the existence and the uniqueness of outer generalized inverses with fixed range and kernel (in a way that is is well-known for complex matrices, or linear bounded operators on Banach spaces). The following theorem represents the existence and the uniqueness of outer generalized inverses with fixed range and kernel, considering the situation in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{R} be a semiprime ring, and let $\mathcal{M}(R)$ and $T \in \mathcal{M}(R)$ be as above. Suppose that \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} are two-sided ideals of \mathcal{R} . Then there exists $S = T_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$, if and only if the following hold: (a) there exist two-sided ideals \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{V}_1 of \mathcal{R} , such that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{U}_1 =$

 $\mathcal{V}_1 \oplus V$:

(b) the reduction $T|_{\mathcal{U}}: \mathcal{U} \to T(\mathcal{U})$ is invertible;

(c) $T(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{V}_1$.

Moreover, if previous conditions are fulfilled, then such an $S \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ is unique.

Proof. Suppose that $S = T_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}}^{(2)} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ exists for some two-sided ideals \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{R} . Then ST and TS belong to $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{R}(ST) = \mathcal{R}(S) = \mathcal{U}$. We take $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{N}(ST) = \mathcal{R}(I - TS)$, and get $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{U}_1$. Since $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{N}(S)$, we take $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{R}(TS)$, and obtain $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(TS) \oplus \mathcal{N}(TS) = \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \mathcal{V}$. By definition, we have $T(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{V}_1$. We have to prove that T is one-to-one on \mathcal{U} . Suppose that Tx = 0 and $x \in \mathcal{R}(S) = \mathcal{U}$. Then x = Sy for some $y \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence, TSy = 0 and Sy = STSy = 0, implying that x = 0. Hence, $T|_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}_1$ is invertible.

We have even more. The restriction $S|_{\mathcal{V}_1}: \mathcal{V}_1 \to \mathcal{U}$ is also invertible. Hence, $S|_{\mathcal{V}_1} = (T|_{\mathcal{U}})^{-1}.$

On the other hand, suppose that the conditions (a), (b) and (c) hold. Let $x \in \mathcal{R}$. Then x = u + v, where $u \in \mathcal{V}_1$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$. There exists the unique $w \in \mathcal{U}$ such that T(w) = u. Define S(x) = w. We see that STSx = STw = Su = Sxfor every $x \in \mathcal{R}$. Hence, STS = S.

We have to verify that S is a centralizer on \mathcal{R} . First, we show that S is additive on \mathcal{R} . Let x = u + v and y = a + b, where $u, a \in \mathcal{V}_1$ and $v, b \in \mathcal{V}$. Then there exist the unique $w, z \in \mathcal{U}$ such that T(w) = u and T(z) = a. These facts mean that S(u) = w and S(a) = z, T(w + z) = u + a (since T is additive) and S(u+a) = w + z. Hence,

$$S(x+y) = S((u+a) + (v+b)) = S(u+a) = w + z = S(u) + S(a) = S(x) + S(y).$$

Hence, S is additive. We have to verify that S(x)y = xS(y) holds. Notice that wT(z) = T(w)z implies wa = uz. On the other hand, we have

$$xS(y) = (u+v)z = uz + vz,$$

and

$$S(x)y = wa + wb.$$

We know that wa = uz. To prove that S is a centralizer, it is enough to prove vz = wb. Notice that $vz, wb \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{U}$. We also have

$$T(vz) = vT(z) = va \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{V}_1 = \{0\},\$$

implying vz = 0, since T is one-to-one on \mathcal{U} . In the same manner we obtain wb = 0. Hence, S is a centralizer on \mathcal{R} .

The last part of the proof is the uniqueness of S. On the contrary, suppose that there exists one more $S_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $S_1TS_1 = S_1$, $\mathcal{R}(S_1) = \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{N}(S_1) = \mathcal{V}$. It is enough to prove $S|_{\mathcal{V}_1} = S_1|_{\mathcal{V}_1}$. We know that these reductions are one-to-one mappings from \mathcal{V}_1 to \mathcal{U} . We also know that $S(\mathcal{V}_1) = S_1(\mathcal{V}_1) = \mathcal{U}$, so $S|_{\mathcal{V}_1}, S_1|_{\mathcal{V}_1} : \mathcal{V}_1 \to \mathcal{U}$ are invertible mappings. Since STS = S, it is easy to conclude that $T|_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}_1$ is ordinary inverse (as a mapping) of both $S|_{\mathcal{V}_1}$ and $S_1|_{\mathcal{V}_1}$. Taking the ordinary inverse of $T|_{\mathcal{U}}$, we get that $S|_{\mathcal{V}_1} = S_1|_{\mathcal{V}_1}$.

As a corollary, we obtain the main result from [3]. Recall that $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ is called orthogonal, if $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(T) \oplus \mathcal{N}(T)$. In [3] a centralizer S is a generalized inverse of $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$, if TST = T and STS = S, i.e. S is a commuting reflexive generalized inverse of T in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$. Now, we get the following result.

Corollary 2.1. Let \mathcal{R} be semiprime and $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$. Then there exists a generalized inverse $S \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ of T if and only if T is orthogonal.

Proof. \Leftarrow : Let T be orthogonal, i.e. $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(T) \oplus \mathcal{N}(T)$. We apply Theorem 2.1 with $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{R}(T)$ and $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{N}(T)$. According to the construction of S, we easily obtain TST = T.

 $\implies: \text{Let } TST = T \text{ and } STS = S. \text{ Take } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R}(S) = \mathcal{R}(ST) \text{ and } \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{R}(TS). \text{ Then we can also take } \mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{N}(ST) = \mathcal{N}(T) \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{R}(TS) = \mathcal{R}(T). \text{ Since } T_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}}^{(2)} \text{ is unique, we obtain that } T_{\mathcal{U},\mathcal{V}}^{(2)} = S. \text{ Finally,} \\ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(T) \oplus \mathcal{N}(T) \text{ and } T \text{ is orthogonal.} \qquad \Box$

Now, we consider the Drazin invertibility of $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$. A centralizer $S \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ is a Drazin inverse of T, if the following hold:

$$STS = S, \quad T^{n+1}S = T^n,$$

for some non-negative integer n. It is known that the Drazin inverse is unique whenever it exists. The Drazin inverse of T is denoted by T^D , and T is called Drazin invertible. In this case P = TS - I is a centralizer and a projection. Hence, $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{N}(P) \oplus \mathcal{R}(P)$. Now, P commutes with T, the reduction $T_1 = T|_{\mathcal{N}(P)} : \mathcal{N}(P) \to \mathcal{N}(P)$ is invertible, and the reduction $T_2 = T|_{\mathcal{R}(T)} :$ $\mathcal{R}(T) \to \mathcal{R}(T)$ is nilpotent. Hence, T_1 is a centralizer, T_1^{-1} is a centralizer, and $T^D|_{\mathcal{N}(P)} = T_1^{-1}, T^D|_{\mathcal{R}(P)} = 0$. Moreover, the following result holds. **Corollary 2.2.** Let \mathcal{R} be a semiprime ring and $T \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$. Then T is Drazin invertible in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$, if and only if there exist ideals \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} of \mathcal{R} , such that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$, this decomposition reduces T, the restriction $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is invertible and the restriction $T|_{\mathcal{N}}$ is nilpotent. In this case $T^D = T^{(2)}_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}}$.

References

- [1] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized inverses: theory and applications*, Second Ed., Springer, 2002.
- [2] S. L. Campbell and C. D. Meyer Jr., Generalized inverses of linear transformations, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [3] M. A. Chaudhry and M. S. Samman, Generalized inverses of centralizers of semiprime rings, Aequationes Math. 71 (2006), 246–252.
- [4] D. Cvetković-Ilić, A. Dajić and J. J. Koliha, Positive and realpositive solutions to the equation axa = c in C*-algebras, Linear Multilin. Algebra 55 (2007), 371–381.
- [5] D. S. Djordjević and Y. Wei, Outer generalized inverses in rings, Comm. Algebra 33 (2005), 3051–3060.
- [6] R. E. Harte, *Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators*, New York, Marcel Dekker, 1988.
- [7] J. J. Koliha and P. Patrício, Elements of rings with equal spectral idempotents, J. Australian Math. Soc. 72 (2002), 137-152.
- [8] P. Patrício and R. Puystjens, Drazin-Moore-Penrose invertibility in rings, Linear Algebra Appl. 389 (2004), 159–173.
- J. Vukman, An identity related to centralizers on semiprime rings, Commnet. Math. Univ. Carolinae 40 (1999), 447–456.
- [10] J. Vukman and I. Kosi-Ulbl, On centralizers on semiprime rings, Aequationes Math. 66 (2003), 277–283.
- [11] G. Wang, Y. Wei and S. Qiao, Generalized inverses: theory and computations, Science Press, Beijing-New York, 2004.

Address

University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, P.O. Box 224, Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Serbia

E-mail: dragan@pmf.ni.ac.rs dragandjordjevic70@gmail.com